



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2020

Prevalence of chlamydiaceae and tetracycline resistance genes in wild boars of central Europe

Wahdan, Amira ; Rohner, Lea ; Marti, Hanna ; Bacciarini, Luca Nicola ; Menegatti, Chiara ; Di Francesco, Antonietta ; Borel, Nicole

Abstract: Our aim was to investigate the occurrence and distribution of *Chlamydia suis* and other Chlamydiaceae in the wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) population of Switzerland and Northern Italy and the detection of tetracycline resistance genes by PCR. We collected a total of 471 conjunctival swabs ($n=292$), rectal swabs ($n=147$), and lung tissue samples ($n=32$) belonging to 292 wild boars. The prevalence of Chlamydiaceae in the investigated wild boar populations was very low (1.4%, 4/292). We found *C. suis* in rectal or conjunctival swabs but not in lung samples. The low chlamydial prevalence might be attributed to limited contacts between wild boars and outdoor domestic pigs due to strict biosecurity measures or limited numbers of rural pig herds. The *tetA(C)* gene fragment was detected in six samples, which were all negative for Chlamydiaceae, and was probably not of chlamydial origin but more likely from other bacteria. The low tetracycline resistance rate in wild boar might be explained by the lack of selective pressure. However, transmission of resistance genes from domestic pigs to wild boar or selective pressure in the environment could lead to the development and spread of tetracycline-resistant *C. suis* strains in wild boars.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7589/2019-11-275>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-187654>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Wahdan, Amira; Rohner, Lea; Marti, Hanna; Bacciarini, Luca Nicola; Menegatti, Chiara; Di Francesco, Antonietta; Borel, Nicole (2020). Prevalence of chlamydiaceae and tetracycline resistance genes in wild boars of central Europe. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 56(3):512.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7589/2019-11-275>

RRH: WADHAN ET AL. - *CHLAMYDIACEAE* IN WILD BOARS

**PREVALENCE OF *CHLAMYDIACEAE* AND TETRACYCLINE RESISTANCE
GENES IN WILD BOARS OF CENTRAL EUROPE**

**Amira Wahdan^{1,5}, Lea Rohner^{1,5}, Hanna Marti¹, Luca Nicola Bacciarini², Chiara
Menegatti², Antonietta Di Francesco^{3,6} and Nicole Borel^{1,7,6}**

¹ Institute of Veterinary Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich,
Winterthurerstrasse 268, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

² Cantonal Veterinary Office Ticino, Via Dogana 16, 6500 Bellinzona, Switzerland

³ Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra, 43,
40064 Ozzano dell'Emilia, Bologna, Italy

⁵ Both authors contributed equally

⁶ Both authors contributed equally

⁷ Corresponding author (email: nicole.borel@uzh.ch)

ABSTRACT: Our aim was to investigate the occurrence and distribution of *Chlamydia suis* and other *Chlamydiaceae* in the wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) population of Switzerland and Northern Italy and the detection of tetracycline resistance genes by PCR. We collected a total of 471 conjunctival swabs ($n=292$), rectal swabs ($n=147$) and lung tissue samples ($n=32$) belonging to 292 wild boars. The prevalence of *Chlamydiaceae* in the investigated wild boar populations was very low (1.4%, 4/292). We found *C. suis* in rectal or conjunctival swabs but not in lung samples. The low chlamydial prevalence might be attributed to limited contacts between wild boars and outdoor domestic pigs due to strict biosecurity measures or limited numbers of rural pig herds. The *tetA(C)* gene fragment was detected in six samples which were all negative for *Chlamydiaceae* and was probably not of chlamydial origin, but more likely from other bacteria. The low tetracycline resistance rate in wild boar might be explained by the lack of selective pressure. However, transmission of resistance genes from domestic pigs to wild boar or selective pressure in the environment could lead to the development and spread of tetracycline-resistant *C. suis* strains in wild boars.

Key words: *Chlamydiaceae*, PCR, tetracycline resistance, wild boars

INTRODUCTION

Chlamydial species of the family *Chlamydiaceae* belong to the Gram-negative obligate intracellular bacteria and infect a broad range of animals and humans including wild animals, e.g. wild boar (Borel et al. 2018). The Eurasian wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) is highly prevalent in most parts of Europe (Cahill et al. 2003; Jansen et al. 2007). In 2012 and 2013, the number of hunted wild boar in countries such as Spain, Poland, France, Italy and Germany ranged between 200,000 and 640,000 wild boar per year (Massei et al. 2015). The numbers of hunted wild boar have massively increased over the past 50 yr with a current annual continental hunting of over 2.2 million (Massei et al. 2015). In Switzerland, there are two distinct populations of wild boar. The first population is found in the north of the country, covering the region from Geneva to St. Gallen including most parts of the Jura Mountains and other regions of the Swiss Midlands, and is connected to the wild boar population in neighbouring Germany and France. The second population is distributed in the southern parts of the canton Ticino and is mixing with the wild boar population in Northern Italy. An increase of the wild boar population in Switzerland has been observed (Meier 2015), identical to what has been notified in other regions of Europe (Massei et al. 2015). However, the relative size of the Swiss wild boar population is currently one of the smallest in Europe (Meier 2015) compared to the wild boar population estimates of other countries.

It has been reported that there is a high probability of interaction between domestic pigs having outdoor access and wild boar in certain geographic regions such as the junction between the Jura Mountains, where the wild boar population has the highest density, and the Swiss Midlands, where most outdoor piggeries are found (Wu et al. 2011). Close contact between domestic pigs and wild boars, which is considered a risk factor for transmission of pathogens, was assessed by a recent questionnaire (Wu et al. 2012): 31% of the participating game wardens and 25% of the pig owners have observed or documented such interactions.

Contacts were reported in all 17 Swiss cantons in which wild boars were present at time of study. Boar–pig hybrids are the hybridized offspring of a cross between the Eurasian wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) and any domestic pig (*Sus scrofa domesticus*). Hybridization, which is also the type of contact carrying the highest risk of pathogen transmission, was registered in 5% of the piggeries included in the latter study (Wu et al. 2012). The following risk factors according high contact were identified: pigs in enclosures separated from the piggery building (>5 m), a large distance between pig enclosures and other houses (>500 m), piggeries near the forest (<500 m), the presence of fences and electric fences <60 cm high. Generally, the risk was higher for piggeries with pasture than for those with concrete ground and the risk of hybridization was highest for the Mangalitza breed (Wu et al. 2012). In a similar survey in Corsica (Jordi et al. 2017), 44% of the questionnaire responders reported interactions linked with sexual attraction of wild boar by domestic sows (including sexual interactions and fights between wild and domestic boar). Studies on pathogen occurrence in various countries showed a growing evidence that hybridization, both in pig and wild boar populations, poses an increased health risk (Rossi et al. 2008; Goedbloed et al. 2015; Jordi et al. 2016).

Previous surveys (Hotzel et al. 2004; Di Francesco et al. 2013) suggested the role of wild boar as a wildlife reservoir for the same *Chlamydiaceae* species known to infect domestic pigs, including *Chlamydia suis*, *Chlamydia pecorum*, *Chlamydia abortus*, and *Chlamydia psittaci* (Schautteet and Vanrompay 2011). *Chlamydia suis* is the most common chlamydial species reported in pigs (Longbottom 2004; Schautteet and Vanrompay 2011), in which it has been associated with respiratory disease, diarrhea and conjunctivitis (Pospischil et al. 2010). Moreover, subclinical chlamydial infections due to *C. suis* are highly prevalent among domestic pigs and make them more susceptible to other infections (Schautteet and Vanrompay 2011). Conjunctivitis associated with *C. suis* was reported in German, Estonian

and Swiss pigs which were kept under intensive husbandry conditions (Becker et al. 2007; Schautteet et al. 2010).

Chlamydial infections in animals are commonly treated with tetracycline and its derivatives (Chopra and Roberts 2001). The genomic island (Tet-island) found in *C. suis* confers tetracycline resistance and is thought to have been obtained through horizontal gene transfer (Lenart et al. 2001; Sandoz and Rockey 2010). The first detection of tetracycline-resistant *C. suis* strains present on a Swiss pig farm was in 2011 (Borel et al. 2012) and the widespread presence of such strains was further corroborated in Swiss fattening pigs (Wanninger et al. 2016). Our aim was to investigate the occurrence and distribution of *C. suis* and other *Chlamydiaceae* in the wild boar population of Switzerland and Northern Italy including the detection of tetracycline resistance genes by PCR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

We collected a total number of 471 samples belonging to 292 wild boars (Table 1). In Switzerland, hunters and butchers collected 169 conjunctival and 61 rectal swabs as well as lung samples ($n=32$) from 169 wild boars originating from 20 hunting grounds in three cantons (Zurich, Aargau and Solothurn). From the canton Ticino, 73 eye and 73 rectum swabs (total 146 samples) from 73 animals were submitted. Additionally, three eye swabs from (three animals) from the Principality of Lichtenstein and two eye swabs (from two animals) from Germany were assessed. A total of 58 swab samples (45 conjunctival and 13 rectal) of 45 animals from Northern Italy were examined.

Flocked swabs (FLOQSwabs, Copan Flock Technologies, Brescia, Italy) were harvested from freshly killed wild boars. For the eye samples, the swabs were first introduced

into the conjunctival sac of one eye, rotated several times under light pressure and then the same procedure was repeated on the other eye using the same swab. For the rectum swabs, the swab was introduced into the rectum, rotated several times, retracted and the tubes were closed with a cap. Only lung samples were taken from eviscerated pigs ($n = 32$).

The samples taken by the hunters or a butcher were sent by priority mail to the laboratory, where they were immediately frozen at -20°C until further processing. On average, it took 3–4 d between sampling and freezing, as many animals were shot during the weekend or late at night.

DNA extraction and determination of DNA concentration

Swab samples were extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Maxwell instrument (Maxwell 16 AS1000, Promega, Fitchburg, Wisconsin, USA) as previously described (Hoffmann et al. 2015). The only modification from the recommended protocol was that the samples were not vortexed after addition of the lysis buffer and proteinase K. Each swab was eluted in 330 μL elution buffer.

The DNA concentration was measured using the Nanodrop-1000 (Witec AG, Lucerne, Switzerland). All samples with a concentration of $>120\text{ ng}/\mu\text{L}$ were also diluted 10-fold for later quantitative PCR (qPCR) in order to avoid PCR inhibition. Subsequently, the samples were stored at $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$ until further processing.

PCR analysis for *Chlamydiaceae* DNA

The workflow and methods used in this study are presented in Figure 1. Primers and probes, PCR reactions mixes and cycling conditions used in the present study are summarized in Table 2 and in Supplementary Table 1. A total of 471 DNA samples were screened for the presence of *Chlamydiaceae* DNA by using a real-time PCR targeting the 23S rRNA gene (*Chlamydiaceae* family-specific).

The *Chlamydiaceae*-specific real-time PCR targeting the 23S rRNA gene (Chlam23S-qPCR; Everett et al. 1999) included primers Ch23S-F, Ch23S-R and probe Ch23S-p (Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland) described by Ehricht et al. (2006). The internal amplification control eGFP amplified with primers eGFP-1-F, eGFP-10-R and probe eGFP-Hex (Microsynth) was added to each reaction. The PCR was conducted on a Thermocycler 7500-Fast ABI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). All samples were tested in duplicate and samples with a cycle threshold of <38 in duplicate PCR reactions were considered positive or questionable positive if the mean Ct-value was >38 . Quantitation was performed using 10-fold dilutions (10^7 copies to 10 copies/ μ L) of the *C. abortus* genomic DNA positive control (standard curve).

***tetA(C)* PCR**

To test for the presence of the *C. suis* Tet-island that carries the tetracycline efflux pump-encoding gene *tetA(C)*, all samples ($n = 471$) were tested for the presence of *tetA(C)* by conventional PCR (Dugan et al. 2004), including a positive control (SWA-107) and a negative control (SWA-86, Wanninger et al. 2016). After amplification of the DNA, the samples were loaded onto a gel (1.5% agarose) for 45 min at 100V and 400mA. Thereafter, the gel was visualized using UVP BioDoc-It 220 UV Imaging System (Ultra-Violet Products Limited, Cambridge, UK).

Other Tet-island-specific PCRs: *tetR(C)*, *tetR(C)-tetA(C)* and *invasin-like (inv-like)*

Three additional Tet-island specific PCRs were applied. Specifically, a PCR targeting the *tetR(C)* regulator amplifying a 608 bp fragment of the *tetR(C)* region (Dugan et al. 2004; Donati et al. 2016), another PCR targeting a 457 bp fragment that includes both the *tetR(C)* and the *tetA(C)* region (Donati et al. 2016) and a 900 bp fragment targeting the intact *invasin-like* region (*inv*; Dugan et al. 2004) were performed on 204 samples (Table 2). A tetracycline-

resistant strain (SWA-141, Wanninger et al. 2016) and a tetracycline-sensitive strain (SWA-94, Wanninger et al., 2016) were used as positive and negative controls for *tetR(C)* and *tetR(C)-tetA(C)*, respectively. In contrast, SWA-94 was the positive control of the *inv*-like PCR, while SWA-141 served as a negative control. After amplification of the DNA, the samples were loaded onto a gel (1.5% agarose) together with their controls for 60 min at 100 V and 400 mA. Thereafter, the gel was visualized as described above.

Identification of the chlamydial species by microarray assay

All samples that were positive or questionable positive by the 23S rRNA qPCR were further analyzed by microarray techniques to identify the chlamydial species (Borel et al. 2008). This is a species-specific 23S rRNA Arraymate microarray assay (Alere, Jena, Germany). The test was used as described (Hoffmann et al. 2015; Wanninger et al. 2016).

16S rRNA PCR and sequencing

All samples positive or questionable by the 23S rRNA qPCR or the *tetA(C)*-PCR were examined by a PCR targeting a partial sequence of the 16S rRNA gene as described in Blumer et al. (2007) with primers modified from Everett et al. (1999; Table 2). The final PCR products were purified by QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, the purified sample was eluted in 30 µl elution buffer and the purified DNA product was prepared for Sanger sequencing at Microsynth (Balgach, Switzerland).

The quality of the sequences was assessed by 4Peaks (Nucleobytes, Aalsmeer, The Netherlands). Subsequently, if possible, a consensus sequence was created from the sequences of the forward and reverse primers using Geneious Prime software version 2019.2.1 (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand). For species identification, the partial or consensus sequences were then searched against the database of the National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI) using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTN, National Center for Biotechnology Information, 2019).

RESULTS

Determination of DNA concentration

The total DNA concentration of all 471 extracted samples varied between 0.6 and 1452.02 ng/μL. In general, the DNA concentrations were higher in the rectum samples compared to the lung and eye samples.

Chlamydiaceae-specific real-time PCR (qPCR)

A total of 471 samples from 292 animals were examined by *Chlamydiaceae*-specific real-time PCR. Of these, 0.9% (4/471) were positive or questionable positive. Specifically, three of 147 (20%) rectum swabs (33-R, 57-R, 664R) and one of 292 (0.3%) eye swabs (165-A) were positive, all originating from Swiss wild boars <2 yr-old. For rectal swabs 33-R and 57-R, corresponding eye swabs were available, both of which were negative. The corresponding lung tissue of sample 165-A also tested negative. The chlamydial load was low for all four samples. The detailed results are listed in Table 3.

TetA(C) PCR

All 471 samples were assessed by the *tetA(C)* PCR resulting in six positive samples (1.3%), but they were negative in the qPCR for *Chlamydiaceae* (Table 3). These specimens included three eye swabs (112-A, 123-A, 161-A), two rectum swabs (54-R, 60-R) and one lung sample (150-L) originating from six individual animals from Switzerland (Table 3). Corresponding eye swabs from animals 54-R, 60-R and 150-L and corresponding rectal swabs from animals 112-A and 123-A were *tetA(C)* -negative and *Chlamydiaceae*-negative.

tetR(C), *tetR(C)*-*tetA(C)* and *inv*-like PCR

The PCRs targeting *tetR(C)*, *tetR(C)-tetA(C)* and the intact *inv*-like region were performed from 204 wild boar samples from 118 animals. All were negative.

Identification of *Chlamydiaceae* species by the microarray assay

All four qPCR-positive samples (33-R, 57-R, 664R, 165-A) were tested by the microarray. Samples 33-R, 57-R and 664-R neither hybridized to a family-specific nor a species-specific probe. Therefore, these three samples could not be typed by the microarray assay. The sample 165-A (eye swab) hybridized to the *Chlamydia_1* probe and to the species-specific probe *C. suis*, confirming the presence of *C. suis* DNA in this sample (Table 3).

16S rRNA PCR and sequencing

Of the four samples positive in the *Chlamydiaceae* qPCR, we obtained sequences from two samples (33-R, 57-R) following 16S rRNA PCR and Sanger sequencing. We performed BLASTn searches on 33-R (219 bp, GenBank accession no. MN519473) and 57-R (208 bp, MN519474) revealing a nucleotide identity of 99% with *C. suis* for both samples. In one sample (664R), no DNA remained that could be used to perform the 16S rRNA PCR and the remaining sample (165-A) was already characterized by microarray assay.

DISCUSSION

We examined samples consisting of eye swabs, rectum swabs, and lung tissues of 292 wild boars from the Swiss cantons of Zurich, Aargau, Solothurn and Ticino as well as from Germany, the Principality of Lichtenstein, and Northern Italy for *Chlamydiaceae* using 23S rRNA real-time PCR. In addition, all samples were assessed by a conventional *tetA(C)* PCR to detect the tetracycline resistance gene known to occur in *C. suis* (Dugan et al. 2004). Moreover, 204 samples were examined by PCRs targeting other sequences within the *C. suis* genomic island containing tetracycline resistance genes.

The *Chlamydiaceae*-specific real-time PCR was positive in only 0.9% (4/471) samples, corresponding to 1.4% (4/292) animals tested. Three positive samples were from rectal swabs from the canton of Zurich and Ticino and one was from an eye swab from the canton of Aargau. Of these, three samples could be assigned to the species *C. suis* by 16S rRNA sequencing or Arraymate microarray.

The results showed a *C. suis* infection prevalence lower than that previously shown in wild boars from countries included in this study, such as Germany and Italy. In a German study (Hotzel et al. 2004), tissue samples (lung, pulmonary lymph node, large intestine and uterus) from 14 hunted wild boars were examined. *Chlamydiaceae* were detected in 57% (8/14) of the samples by PCR. Sequencing of the PCR products revealed mainly *C. psittaci* (10 positive samples out of four animals), but also *C. abortus* (4/2) and *C. suis* (3/2). Among all organs, the lung was most frequently found to be infected. In Italy, wild boar blood samples were tested for antibodies against *Chlamydiaceae* (Di Francesco et al. 2011). Antibody titers to chlamydiae were detected in 63.6% (110/173) of the samples tested, with a specific reactivity to *C. suis* in 25% (44/173) serum samples. In a further study (Di Francesco et al. 2013), 50% (22/44) wild boars were positive for *Chlamydiaceae* and *Parachlamydiaceae* by PCR. Sequencing of the amplicons identified *C. suis* and *C. pecorum* in twelve and five samples, respectively. In order to evaluate the systemic distribution of *Chlamydiaceae*, tissue samples collected from four wild boars were examined using PCR, showing the presence of *C. suis* in lung, pulmonary lymph node and large intestine, *C. psittaci* in lung and small intestine and *C. pecorum* in the pulmonary lymph node and small intestine.

Contrary to these previous studies, the prevalence of *Chlamydiaceae* in the investigated wild boar populations was very low (1.4%, 4/292). *Chlamydia suis* was only found in rectal or conjunctival swabs, with no evidence of *C. suis* in the lung samples. The lower prevalence in our study could be, in part, explained by differences in methods

(molecular detection versus antibody detection by serology). However, previous studies (Hotzel et al. 2004; Di Francesco et al. 2013) also used molecular methods resulting in higher prevalences (14% and 27%, respectively) as observed in our study. We think that the lower *C. suis* prevalence observed in our study might be attributed to limited contact between wild boars and domestic pigs in the investigated areas.

The *tetA(C)* gene is the only known antimicrobial resistance gene present in *Chlamydiaceae* (Dugan et al. 2004). The first tetracycline resistant *C. suis* strains were reported in US pig farms in the early 1990s (Lenart et al. 2001). Subsequently, *C. suis*-tetracycline resistant strains have been documented in pigs from various countries. A preliminary study in Switzerland (Borel et al. 2012) could identify *Chlamydiaceae* from 12 eye swabs and three pooled fecal samples from 12 6 wk-old domestic pigs by real-time PCR. *Chlamydia suis* was subsequently identified in all positive samples (eye swabs and pooled fecal sample). The *tetA(C)* gene-coding region was detected in one pooled fecal sample and one eye swab. In a recent Swiss study investigating 59 *C. suis* isolates from fattening pigs, 32 isolates were positive for *tetA(C)*, while 27 were negative (Wanninger et al. 2016). In another study of 39 sows from Belgian, Cypriote and Israeli herds, 83% (19/23) *C. suis*-positive sows were infected with tetracycline-resistant *C. suis* strains (Schautteet et al. 2013). During a study in Italy (Di Francesco et al. 2008), 14 chlamydial isolates were collected from domestic pigs with conjunctival and/or reproductive disorders reared in four farms in Northern and Southern Italy. All *C. suis* isolates carried the *tetA(C)* gene associated with tetracycline resistance. Similarly, Donati et al. (2016) detected *tetA(C)* and *tetR(C)* transcripts in 11 *C. suis* isolates from Italian pigs cultured in the absence and presence of tetracycline. Despite the widespread presence of *C. suis* tet-resistant strains in pigs, no data are currently available about the occurrence of tetracycline resistant *C. suis* in wild boar.

In our study, the tetracycline resistance gene *tetA(C)* was detected in six samples, but all six samples were negative for *Chlamydiaceae* by PCR. In addition, PCRs targeting *tetR(C)*, *tetR(C)-tetA(C)* and invasin like (*inv*-like) gene fragments were negative. These results suggested that the *tetA(C)* gene fragment detected in the six samples was probably not of chlamydial origin, but more likely from other bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* (Wasył et al. 2018) or *Streptococcus suis* (Chen et al. 2013).

In general, development of tetracycline resistance in *C. suis* after tetracycline administration is very common in pig farms and it has been shown that resistance occurs more frequently and rapidly under the selective pressure of treatment with tetracycline (Wanninger et al. 2016; Seth-Smith et al. 2017). Due to a lack of selective pressure, it is less likely that increased resistance rates can be detected in wild boar. However, transmission of the resistance genes from domestic pigs to wild boar or selective pressure in the environment could lead to the presence of tetracycline-resistant *C. suis* strains in wild boars. In theory, tetracycline-resistant *C. suis* fecal shedding from fattening pigs could contaminate crops via liquid manure, waste water and sludge.

We detected *C. suis* in wild boar in Switzerland, but to a much lesser extent than in domestic pigs. In fattening pigs from Switzerland, 2461 samples were investigated for the prevalence of *Chlamydiaceae* in fattening pigs by sampling conjunctival and fecal swabs from 29 pig herds at the beginning and end of the fattening period. 94.3% fecal swabs in the first sampling and 92.0% in the second sampling were positive for *Chlamydiaceae*, as well as 45.9% and 32.6% of the conjunctival swabs, respectively. *Chlamydia suis* was identified as the most common chlamydial species in these samples (Hoffmann et al. 2015).

The low chlamydial prevalence observed in our study of a wild boar population could be attributed to limited contacts between wild boars and outdoor domestic pigs due to strict biosecurity measures or limited numbers of rural pig herds. Furthermore, tetracycline-resistant

C. suis strains do not appear to be widespread in Swiss wild boar. In order to obtain more comprehensive epidemiological data on the chlamydial prevalence in wild boar in Switzerland, additional animals from other cantons would need to be studied. Of particular importance would be the regions with many domestic pigs, such as the cantons of Lucerne and St. Gallen. Furthermore, it would be of interest to investigate internal organs for the presence of *Chlamydiaceae* to elaborate if systemic infections in wild boar are present, as suggested by previous studies (Hotzel et al. 2004; Di Francesco et al. 2013).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all hunters and the butcher for collecting the samples. We thank Theresa Pesch and Barbara Prähauser from the Institute of Veterinary Pathology for their help with the laboratory work.

LITERATURE CITED

- Becker A, Lutz-Wohlgroth L, Brugnera E, Lu ZH, Zimmermann DR, Grimm F, Grosse Beilage E, Kaps S, Spiess B, Pospischil A, et al. 2007. Intensively kept pigs pre-disposed to chlamydial associated conjunctivitis. *J Vet Med A: Physiol Pathol Clin Med* 54:307–313.
- Blumer C, Zimmermann DR, Weilenmann R, Vaughan L, Pospischil A. 2007. Chlamydiae in free-ranging and captive frogs in Switzerland. *Vet Pathol* 44:144–150.
- Borel N, Regenscheit N, Di Francesco A, Donati M, Markov J, Masserey Y, Pospischil A. 2012. Selection for tetracycline-resistant *Chlamydia suis* in treated pigs. *Vet Microbiol* 156:143–146.
- Borel N, Polkinghorne A, Pospischil A. 2018. A review on chlamydial diseases in animals: still a challenge for pathologists. *Vet Pathol* 55:374–390.
- Borel N, Kempf E, Hotze H, Schubert E, Torgerson P, Slickers P, Ehrlich R, Tasara T, Pospischil A, Sachse K. 2008. Direct identification of chlamydiae from clinical samples using a DNA microarray assay: a validation study. *Mol Cell Probes* 22:55–64.
- Cahill S, Limona F, Gràcia J. 2003. Spacing and nocturnal activity of wild boar *Sus scrofa* in a Mediterranean metropolitan park. *Wildl Biol* 9:3-13.
- Chen L, Song Y, Wei Z, He H, Zhang A, Jin M. 2013. Antimicrobial susceptibility, tetracycline and erythromycin resistance genes, and multilocus sequence typing of *Streptococcus suis* isolates from diseased pigs in China. *J Vet Med Sci* 75:583–587.

- Chopra I, Roberts M. 2001. Tetracycline antibiotics: mode of action, applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 65:232–260.
- Di Francesco A, Donati M, Rossi M, Pignanelli S, Shurdhi A, Baldelli R, Cevenini R. 2008. Tetracycline-resistant *Chlamydia suis* isolates in Italy. *Vet Rec* 163:251–252.
- Di Francesco A, Donati M, Morandi F, Renzi M, Masia MA, Ostanello F, Salvatore D, Cevenini R, Baldelli R. 2011. Seroepidemiologic survey for *Chlamydia suis* in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) populations in Italy. *J Wildl Dis* 47:709–712.
- Di Francesco A, Baldelli R, Donati M, Cotti C, Bassi P, Delogu M. 2013. Evidence for *Chlamydiaceae* and *Parachlamydiaceae* in a wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) population in Italy. *Vet Ital* 49:119–22.
- Donati M, Balboni A, Laroucau K, Aaziz R, Vorimore F, Borel N, Morandi F, Nepita EV, Di Francesco A. 2016. Tetracycline susceptibility in *Chlamydia suis* pig isolates. *PLoS One* 11:e0149914.
- Dugan J, Rockey DD, Jones L, Andersen AA. 2004. Tetracycline resistance in *Chlamydia suis* mediated by genomic islands inserted into the chlamydial *inv-like* gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 48:3989–3995.
- Ehricht R, Slickers P, Goellner S, Hotzel H, Sachse H. 2006. Optimized DNA microarray assay allows detection and genotyping of single PCR-amplifiable target copies. *Mol Cell Probes* 20:60–63.
- Everett KDE, Bush RM, Andersen AA. 1999. Emended description of the order *Chlamydiales*, proposal of *Parachlamydiaceae* fam. nov. and *Simkaniaceae* fam. nov., each containing one monotypic genus, revised taxonomy of the family

Chlamydiaceae, including a new genus and five new species, and standards for the identification of organisms. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 49:415–440.

Goedbloed DJ, van Fooft P, Lutz W, Megens HJ, van Wieren SE, Ydenberg RC, Prins HH. 2015. Increased *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae* disease prevalence in domestic hybrids among free-living wild boar. *EcoHealth* 12:571–579.

Hoffmann B, Depner K, Schirrmeier H, Beer M. 2006. A universal heterologous internal control system for duplex real-time RT-PCR assays used in a detection system for pestiviruses. *J Virol Methods* 136:200–209.

Hoffmann K, Schott F, Donati M, Di Francesco A, Hässig M, Wanninger S, Sidler X, Borel N. 2015. Prevalence of chlamydial infections in fattening pigs and their Influencing factors. *PLoS One* 10:e0143576.

Hotzel H, Berndt A, Melzer F, Sachse K. 2004. Occurrence of *Chlamydiaceae* spp. in a wild boar (*Sus scrofa* L.) population in Thuringia (Germany). *Vet Microbiol* 103:121–126.

Jansen A, Luge E, Guerra B, Wittschen P, Gruber AD, Loddenkemper C, Schneider T, Lierz M, Ehlert D, Appel B, et al. 2007. Leptospirosis in urban wild boars, Berlin, Germany. *Emerg Infect Dis* 13:739–742.

Jori F, Laval M, Maestrini O, Casabianca F, Charrier F, Pavio N. 2016. Assessment of domestic pigs, wild boars and feral hybrid pigs as reservoirs of hepatitis E virus in Corsica, France. *Viruses* 8:236.

Jori F, Relun A, Trabucco B, Charrier F, Maestrini O, Chavernac D, Cornelis D, Casabianca F, Etter EMC. 2017. Questionnaire-based assessment of wild

- boar/domestic pig interactions and implications for disease risk management in Corsica. *Front Vet Sci* 4:198.
- Lenart J, Andersen AA, Rockey DD. 2001. Growth and development of tetracycline-resistant *Chlamydia suis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45:2198–2203.
- Longbottom D. 2004. Chlamydial infections of domestic ruminants and swine: new nomenclature and new knowledge. *Vet J* 168:9–11.
- Massei G, Kindberg J, Licoppe A, Gačić D, Šprem N, Kamler J, Baubet E, Hohmann U, Monaco A, Ozoliņš J, et al. 2015. Wild boar populations up, numbers of hunters down? A review of trends and implications for Europe. *Pest Manag Sci* 71:492–500.
- Meier RK. 2015. *Investigations of health and abundance of free-ranging wild boar (Sus scrofa) in Switzerland in a European context*. Med. Vet. Thesis, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland (118 pp.).
- National Center for Biotechnology Information. 2019. *Basic local alignment search tool (BLAST)*. <http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>. Accessed September, 2019 .
- Pospischil A, Borel N, Andersen AA. 2010. *Chlamydia*. In: *Pathogenesis of Bacterial Infections in Animals*, 4th Ed., Gyles CL, Prescott JF, Songer JG, Thoen CO, editors. Blackwell Publishing, Ames, Iowa, USA, pp. 575-587.
- Rossi S, Hars J, Garin-Bastuji B, Le Potier MF, Boireau P, Aubry P, Hattenberger AM, Louguet Y, Toma B, Boué F. 2008. Résultats de l'enquête nationale sérologique menée chez le sanglier sauvage (2000-2004). *Bull Epid Santé Anim Alim* 29:5–7 In French).
- Sandoz KM, Rockey DD. 2010. Antibiotic resistance in Chlamydiae. *Future Microbiol* 5:1427–1442.

- Schautteet K, Vanrompay D. 2011. *Chlamydiaceae* infections in pig. *Vet Res* 42:29.
- Schautteet K, Beeckman DSA, Delava P, Vanrompay D. 2010. Possible pathogenic interplay between *Chlamydia suis*, *Chlamydophila abortus* and PCV-2 on a pig production farm. *Vet Rec* 166:329–333.
- Schautteet K, De Clercq E, Miry C, Van Groenweghe F, Delava P, Kalmar I, Vanrompay D. 2013. Tetracycline-resistant *Chlamydia suis* in cases of reproductive failure on Belgian, Cypriote and Israeli pig production farms. *J Med Microbiol* 62:331–334.
- Seth-Smith HM, Wanninger S, Bachmann N, Marti H, Qi W, Donati M, Di Francesco A, Polkinghorne A, Borel N. 2017. The *Chlamydia suis* genome exhibits high levels of diversity, plasticity, and mobile antibiotic resistance: comparative genomics of a recent livestock cohort shows influence of treatment regimes. *Genome Biol Evol* 9:750–760.
- Wanninger S, Donati M, Di Francesco A, Hässig M, Hoffmann K, Seth-Smith HM, Marti H, Borel N. 2016. Selective pressure promotes tetracycline resistance of *Chlamydia suis* in fattening pigs. *PLoS One* 11:e0166917.
- Wasył D, Zająć M, Lalak A, Skarżyńska M, Samcik I, Kwit R, Jabłoński A, Bocian Ł, Woźniakowski G, Hoszowski A, et al. 2018. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolated from wild animals in Poland. *Microb Drug Resist* 24:807–815
- Wu N, Abril C, Hinicacute V, Brodard I, Thür B, Fattebert J, Hüsey D, Ryser-Degiorgis M-P. 2011. Free-ranging wild boar: a disease threat to domestic pigs in Switzerland? *J Wild Dis* 47:868–879.
- Wu N, Abril C, Thomann A, Grosclaude E, Doherr MG, Boujon P, Ryser-Degiorgis M-P. 2012. Risk factors for contacts between wild boar and outdoor pigs in Switzerland and investigations on potential *Brucella suis* spill-over. *BMC Vet Res* 8:116.

TABLES

Table 1: Numbers of wild boars sampled from four Swiss cantons (Zurich, Aargau, Solothurn, Ticino), the Principality of Liechtenstein, Germany and Italy. Samples consisted of eye and rectum swabs and lung samples. We investigated a total number of 471 samples from 292 wild boars for the occurrence of *Chlamydiaceae* and tetracycline resistance genes by PCR.

Origin	Number of animals	Type of samples and (number)	Number of samples
Switzerland			
Zurich	77	Eye swabs	77
		Rectum swabs	55
Solothurn	6	Eye swabs	6
		Rectum swabs	6
Aargau	86	Eye swabs	86
		Lung samples	32
Ticino	73	Eye swabs	73
		Rectal swabs	73
Principality of Lichtenstein	3	Eye swabs	3
Germany	2	Eye swabs	2
Italy	45	Eye swabs	45
		Rectal swabs	13

Table 2: All samples of this study were screened for *Chlamydiaceae* by the Chlam-23S-qPCR including an internal amplification control eGFP. Positive samples were further classified by the 16S rRNA PCR. The detection of tetracycline resistance genes *tetA(C)*, *tetR(C)* and *tetR(C)-tetA(C)* was performed by PCR. The presence of an intact Invasin (*inv*)-like region was determined by PCR. All PCR methods have been previously described and used published primer sets.

PCR method ^a	Gene target and amplicon size	Name	Sequence (5' – 3')	Reference
Chlam-23S-qPCR	23S rRNA, 111 bp	Ch23S-F	CTGAAACCAGTAGCTTATAAGCGGT	Ehricht et al. 2006
		Ch23S-R	ACCTCGCCGTTTAACTTAACTCC	
		Ch23S-P	FAM-CTCATCATGCAAAAGGCACGCCG-TAMRA	
eGFP-qPCR	eGFP, 177 bp	eGFP-1-F	GACCACTACCAGCAGAACAC	Hoffmann et al. 2006
		eGFP-10-R	CTTGTAAGCTCGTCCATGC	
		eGFP-Hex	VIC-AGCACCCAGTCCGCCCTGAGCA-none	
<i>tetA(C)</i> PCR	<i>tetA(C)</i> , 525 bp	CS43	AGCACTGTCCGACCGCTTTG	Dugan et al. 2004
		CS47	TCCTCGCCGAAAATGACCC	
<i>tetR(C)</i> PCR	<i>tetR(C)</i> ,	tetR-F	TTGGGGCAACCATTCTGGT	Dugan et al. 2004; Donati

	608 bp	CS38	CCAAGGGATGACGACGACTG	et al. 2016
<i>tetR(C)-tetA(C)</i> PCR	<i>tetR(C)-tetA(C)</i> ,	tetRC-F	TGCGTCGAGCAACGCACGCT	Dugan et al. 2004; Donati
	457 bp	CS43rev	CAAAGCGGTCGGACAGTGCT	et al. 2016
Invasin (<i>inv</i>)-like region	Intact invasin (<i>inv</i>)			Dugan et al. 2004
	gene, 900 bp	CS02	CGTTTCAGGAATACCCACTTCG	
		CS106	ACACTTCAGGTTTTTCGCCGTAG	
16S rRNA PCR (partial)	16S rRNA, 278 bp	16S IGF	GATGAGGCATGCAAGTCGAACG	Blumer et al. 2007
		16S IGR	CCAGTGTTGGCGGTCAATCTCTC	

^aConventional PCR (PCR), real-time PCR (qPCR)

Table 3: Details of wild boar samples either positive for *Chlamydiaceae* qPCR or *tetA(C)* by PCR.

Animal number	Origin ^a	Age (yr)	Sex	Type of sample	qPCR	Ct-value (mean)	Number of copies/μl	Microarray	16S rRNA and sequencing	<i>tetA(C)</i> PCR ^b
33-R	ZH	<2	Female	Rectum	Positive	42.1	2.8	Negative	<i>Chlamydia suis</i>	Negative
54-R	ZH	<2	Male	Rectum	Negative	Undetermined	Undetermined	ND ^d	ND	Positive
57-R ^c	ZH	<2	Male	Rectum	Positive	38.5	34.1	Negative	<i>Chlamydia suis</i>	Negative
60-R	ZH	<2	Male	Rectum	Negative	Undetermined	Undetermined	ND	ND	Positive
112-A	SO	>2	Female	Eye	Negative	Undetermined	Undetermined	ND	ND	Positive
123-A	ZH	>2	Female	Eye	Negative	Undetermined	Undetermined	ND	ND	Positive
150-L	AG	<2	Male	Lung	Negative	Undetermined	Undetermined	ND	ND	Positive
161-A	ZH	<2	Male	Eye	Negative	Undetermined	Undetermined	ND	ND	Positive
165-A	AG	<2	Male	Eye	Positive	36.8	30.7	<i>Chlamydia suis</i>	ND	Negative
664-R	TI	<2	Male	Rectum	Positive	37.6	1.5	Negative	Insufficient sample	Negative

^aZH=canton of Zurich, SO=canton of Solothurn, AG=canton of Aargau, TI=canton of Ticino

^bPrimer CS43, CS37 (*tetA(C)* PCR)

^cExtracted DNA was diluted 1:10 prior to PCR analysis

^dND=Not done.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. A total of 471 samples of 292 wild boars from Switzerland, Northern Italy, Germany and Lichtenstein were collected during hunting. All samples (n=471, rectum and eye swabs, lung tissues samples) were screened for the presence of *Chlamydiaceae* by a 23S rRNA qPCR. To determine the chlamydial species, positive samples (n=4) were tested by the Microarray assay and the 16S rRNA PCR followed by sequencing. Three PCR methods detecting different tetracycline resistance gene determinants such as: *tetR(C)*-*tetA(C)*, *inv*-like region and *tetR(C)* were applied to 204 samples from Italy and canton of Ticino (Switzerland). A PCR detecting *tetA(C)* was performed on 407 samples from Italy and Switzerland (cantons AG, SO, TI, ZH). Positive samples (n=6) with the latter PCR were also investigated by the 16S rRNA PCR followed by sequencing. AG=canton of Aargau, CH=Switzerland (including samples of Fürstentum Liechtenstein and Germany), IT=Italy, SO=canton of Solothurn, TI=canton of Ticino.